Sentinel lymph node in cervical cancer: time to move forward
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Abstract: In early-stage cervical cancer, lymph node status is of paramount importance to determine the best therapeutic strategy and is one of the most important prognostic factors of survival. According to main international guidelines, pelvic full lymphadenectomy is recommended for lymph node staging. Sentinel lymph node (SLN) biopsy is an accurate method for the assessment of lymph nodal involvement and has been suggested instead of systematic pelvic lymph node dissection (PLND). The SLN technique requires a learning-curve to be well performed. Combined detection with technetium-99 and blue dye has been widely used but the recent introduction of indocyanine green (ICG) is of growing interest since it could improve SLN detection. SLN biopsy offers a more accurate anatomical staging by finding potential metastatic nodes outside of usual lymphadenectomy areas. SLN biopsy improves the diagnostic value of lymph node staging with ultrastaging and detection of low-volume nodal metastases [isolated tumor cells (ITCs) and micrometastases]. Appropriate selection of patient and minimal training combined with some simple rules may guarantee a low false negative rate. Several studies have shown that SLN mapping in these patients is feasible, with excellent detection rates and sensitivity. Less-radical lymph node dissection decreases the associated morbidity of PLND, especially the risk of lower-limb lymphoedema, which severely affects patient quality of life. Some points are still subject to debate such as the low accuracy of intraoperative SLN status assessment by frozen section and the impact of micrometastasis on prognosis. Although international guidelines consider SLN biopsy as an alternative to PLND, SLN biopsy alone is not the gold-standard yet due to lack of prospective evidence on long-term oncological safety. The 3 ongoing prospective trials SENTIX, PHENIX and SENTICOL III will most probably give an answer to these issues.
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With nearly 570,000 new cases/year and 310,000 deaths/year, cervical cancer is the second most frequent cancer in women and the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths in females (1). The incidence and the mortality are a matter of concern in developing countries where screening programs are not always available. Additional measures such as patient education, changes in sexual behaviour and the introduction of HPV-vaccination may decrease the impact of this cancer (2,3). It is important to emphasize that more than 54% of patients diagnosed are younger than 50 years (4).

Based on the prospective data of SENTICOL I and LACC trial, good oncologic outcomes after surgery have been reported for early-stage cervical cancer with a disease-free survival of 90.6% at 3 years and 96.5% at 4.5 years respectively and an overall survival of 96% and 99%, respectively (5,6). For this subset of patients, lymph node status is a major prognostic factor since five-year disease-free survival falls from 88% to 57% in case of lymph node metastasis (7) and has been recently included in the 2018 current International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) classification (8). Moreover, lymph node assessment is of paramount importance to determine the most appropriate therapeutic strategy for patients with early-stage cervical cancer, since positive pelvic node may require para-aortic lymphadenectomy and chemoradiotherapy rather than radical surgery.

According to main international guidelines, full pelvic lymphadenectomy is recommended for lymph node staging (9,10). Nevertheless, this surgical procedure is associated with significant morbidity, especially a higher risk of lower limbs lymphedema (11,12). The current trend in cervical cancer management is focused on less aggressive strategy without jeopardizing oncologic outcomes. Introduced first in breast cancer (13) and vulvar cancer (14), the sentinel lymph node (SLN) technique has been applied to early-stage cervical cancer within this logic and several publications have demonstrated the concept, the feasibility and the reliability in this indication (15-20). Based on European and American guidelines, SLN biopsy without additional lymphadenectomy is considered as acceptable for 2018 FIGO IA1 with lymphovascular space invasion (LVSI) and IA2 stages (9,10). On the other hand, SLN biopsy alone is not recommended without systematic pelvic lymphadenectomy for lymph node staging for IB1 stage except in the context of prospective clinical trials according to the ESGO/ESTRO/ESP guidelines (9) whereas SLN biopsy could be considered for these cases according to the 2019 NCCN guidelines (10).

In this article, we review the current evidence on SLN biopsy concept in cervical cancer to determine whether SLN biopsy might be the gold-standard for lymph node staging.

**Rational**

Lymph node staging consisting in preoperative imaging and intraoperative sampling is mandatory for choosing the best therapeutic strategy (9). Pelvic lymphadenectomy sought to provide prognostic information that would indicate adjuvant radiotherapy to reach a loco-regional control of the disease. However, some substantive changes have to be considered about positive nodes in the modern era compared to old previous report (21-23). Size of metastatic node is usually small and is smaller than 2 mm in 22% to 60% of positive node patients (24-26). Given that most of metastatic nodes measured less than 10 mm (27), pelvic MRI and PET-CT lack of sensibility and fail to identify positive-node patients (28). Nonetheless, the recent results of UTERUS-11 study did not show a difference in disease-free survival between surgical and clinical staging in patients with locally advanced cervical cancer (29).

In addition, the mean rate of positive nodes in patients with early-stage cervical cancer is lower than 20% (15,18,26) and the number of metastatic nodes per patient ranges from 1 to 3, with only one node being involved in near half of pN1-patients (30,31). The full pelvic lymphadenectomy, consisting in removing at least 15 nodes which are analysed by one cut level and standard pathology, raised two main pitfalls: the induced postoperative morbidity and its efficiency. As previously stated, near 80% of patients who are free of nodal metastases would undergo useless pelvic lymphadenectomy and therefore would ensure associated complications such as longer operative time, blood loss, postoperative infection, lymphocyst and lower limbs lymphedema (12,32). Although pelvic lymphadenectomy is completed, 10% to 15% of patients initially considered as N0 will experience cancer recurrences in the lymphatic area, due to the presence of atypical lymphatic drainage pathway (33). A targeted lymph node sampling appears more appropriate and SLN biopsy addresses particularly to this issue.

The SLN is defined as the first draining node of a solid tumor and represent the status of regional and downstream nodes. This concept applies to tumor with a sequential lymphatic drainage and a low rate of nodal involvement. The selective removal of these SLNs aims to ensure the
absence of lymphatic tumor spread in the first draining node and thus to predict the absence of distant lymphatic metastases in the secondary nodes. The SLN biopsy is a targeted sampling which should provide the same diagnostic information as the pelvic lymphadenectomy (pN0 or pN1) with less morbidity and without compromising oncologic outcomes.

**SLN biopsy procedure**

**Injection technique**

According to the ESGO guidelines, SLN mapping might be performed by a combined technique of blue dye (patent blue, isosulfan blue or lymphazurin) in association with radiocolloid Technetium 99m ($^{99m}$Tc) or by fluorescent dye alone [indocyanine green (ICG)] (9). Blue dye alone should be avoided and the association with isotopes may improve sensibility to detect SLN (34,35).

The radioactive tracer colloidal rhenium sulfide labeled with technetium ($^{99m}$Tc) is mainly used. Two protocol injection are feasible: a long or a short. In case of long-protocol, a dose of 120-MBq is injected the day before surgery with a delay shorter than 15 hours. In case of short-protocol, a dose of 60-MBq is injected morning of surgery. Whatever the type of protocol, cooperation with the nuclear medicine department is essential. The injection is performed in healthy tissue at the periphery of the tumor or of the conisation scar. By using a 25-gauge needle, submucosal injections are performed into two (at 3 and 9 o’clock) or four points at 5–10 mm-depth (Figure 1). To guide the surgeons during the surgical procedure, a preoperative lymphoscintigraphy may be done after the isotope injection (1 to 3 hours in case of short protocol or 3 to 5 hours in case of long protocol). Detection rate and diagnostic performance are not affected by either the two protocols (36,37). Due to a better resolution, the single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT-CT) provides more accurate information than lymphoscintigraphy alone on SLN topography and improves surgical staging (38).

Two types of colorimetric tracer can be used: blue dye or ICG. Using ICG require specific near-infrared detection system (for both open surgery and minimally invasive surgery) to visualize the fluorescence, whereas blue dye does not require any specific material since it can be seen with the naked eye. Both colorimetric tracers can be used at the same time (39). Usually, 2 mL of 2.5% blue dye are diluted in 2 mL of saline or 25 mg of ICG are diluted in 10 mL of glucose solution. Some authors suggested to inject...
concomitantly India ink to identify SLN in the parametrium at the final pathologic examination (40).

The injection of the colorimetric tracer is performed at the beginning of the surgical procedure following the same technique as described for radiocolloid injection. Practically, the injection can be done right after the induction of general anesthesia, but due to the rapid migration of the tracer, this injection should be performed at the earliest after the surgical positioning of the patient in order to decrease the false negative results by identifying non-SLN instead of the true SLN. In addition, late injection enhances to visualize intraoperatively dye migration until the true SLN. One millilitre of blue dye or ICG is injected into 2 or 4 points in the cervix (Figure 1). Higher injection volume should be avoided due to the risk of dye spillage in the surrounding tissue which may impair the surgical dissection. By contrast, a second injection can be performed during the surgery in case of unilateral detection (41).

A particular attention should be paid for a meticulous injection technique in order to decrease detection failure (42,43). Some technical problems can occur with the cervical injection such as wrong injection site (too lateral or in the external cervical ostium), the presence of Nabothian cysts, tiny atrophic cervix in menopausal women, bleeding, distorted cervical anatomy, or lack of experience. In case of larger tumors and bulky cervix, tracer injection technique is more difficult due to the frequent presence of a dense and necrotic central core that may inhibit tracer diffusion (44). Appropriate modification of tracer injection technique may overcome this difficulty by using longer needle, bigger volume of blue dye and injection in the residual stroma around the tumor (45).

**Surgical dissection**

Surgery can be performed by minimally invasive approach (laparoscopy or robotic-assisted laparoscopy) or by open surgery. Most of published data are derived from series in which surgery was mainly performed by minimally invasive approach but the results of the LACC trial will certainly induce a paradigm shift in favour of open approach (6).

The intraoperative detection starts by exploring the abdominal and pelvic cavities over an intact peritoneum in order to look for stained and/or radioactive nodes detected by the Gamma probe. An adhesiolysis may be necessary in case of previous pelvic surgery. The absence of grossly suspicious nodes has to be verified even if this eventuality is less likely with an adequate preoperative imaging. The dye migration has to be followed in regard with the parametrium, internal iliac area, interiliac area, external iliac area, common iliac area and paraaortic area as described by Marnitz et al. (46) (Figure 2).

Second, the entire retroperitoneal space should be opened along the external iliac vessels with pararectal and paravesical fossa as well. Anatomical landmarks such as the umbilical artery, the ureter, the obturator nerve have to be identified (Figure 3). This approach permits to see the early drainage from the cervix through the parametrium by following the dye progression in the channels before any node is taken to ensure that the true draining SLN is identified and not missed (Figure 4). SLN are usually found in the external iliac and interiliac area (47). The SLN mapping may be guided by the information provided by the lymphoscintigraphy. Even if the false negative rate may be limited by increasing the number of SLNs sampled especially in teams with low experience, not all detected nodes (blue and/or hot SLN) should be removed but only the first draining node in the channel pathway has to be removed and labeled as SLN (Figure 5). This step is crucial to correctly identify the true SLN and not to confuse with non-SLN which correspond in fact to distal migration of tracer beyond the true SLN. Nonetheless, more SLNs can be sampled in case of truly separate channels which may correspond to distinct lymphatic drainage pathway (Figure 6). If no SLNs are found in the external iliac, interiliac and common iliac area, dissection of the promontory area and paraaortic area should be performed to avoid missing SLN in atypical topography (48). Whatever the type of technique used, a bilateral detection should be obtained (at least one SLN in each hemi-pelvis).

In case of unilateral detection, extensive dissection of the retroperitoneal space has to be performed carefully due to the risk of diffuse smearing induced by an excessive manipulation. A second injection of colorimetric tracer might be helpful. According to MSKCC algorithm, if no SLNs are found, an ipsilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy should be performed (17). Caution should be paid to swollen lymphatics channel which might be mistaken with SLN and are responsible of 40% of detection failure (43). Detected SLNs are removed selectively and sent for frozen section examination (FSE) after ex vivo palpation.

Finally, the absence of residual in vivo radioactivity and the absence of residual fluorescence are checked. The opening of the paravesical and pararectal space enhance to individualize the parametrium and to begin the radical hysterectomy. At this step, SLNs in parametrium can be
Figure 2  Intraoperative view of blue tracer migration (A,B) and ICG migration (C). SLN, Sentinel lymph node; ICG, indocyanine green.

Figure 3  Laparoscopic intraoperative view of the right ilio-obturator fossa with anatomical landmarks.

Figure 4  Laparoscopic intraoperative view of the right ilio-obturator fossa with fluorescent afferent lymphatic channel, fluorescent SLN and fluorescent efferent lymphatic channel. SLN, Sentinel lymph node.
These different steps have to be respected to ensure the reproducibility and the reliability of the technique. This surgical methodology may be implemented in a check-list of “quality-assurance” (Table 1) (49).

**Table 1** Checklist “quality assurance” for SLN biopsy in cervical cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Clinical stage ≤ IIA1</td>
<td>• Physical exam under general anesthesia: Clinical stage ≤ IIA1</td>
<td>• Training on the performance of ultrastaging of SLN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Abdominopelvic MRI: Cervical tumor size &lt;30 mm with intact parametria</td>
<td>• Training for the cervical injection of colorimetric or fluorescent dye</td>
<td>SLN, sentinel lymph node.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No suspicious pelvic or paraaortic nodes (small axis ≥10 mm and morphologic criteria)</td>
<td>• Training in the exploration and dissection of SLN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Training for the cervical injection of isotopic tracers</td>
<td>• Bilateral SLN detection (if unilateral detection, contralateral hemipelvic lymphadenectomy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Performance of lymphoscintigraphy (or SPECT) and appropriate training for the interpretation of these imaging modalities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Experience and learning-curve**

Efficient cervical injection, intraoperative detection and accurate node sampling require a learning-curve which may be done by performing systematic SLN biopsy before each pelvic lymphadenectomy (for cervical or endometrial cancer) under the control of an experienced operator.

This concept of learning-curve has been widely described in the literature (15,45,50-52). In their cohort of 55 patients, Plante et al. have shown a better SLN identification rate in the last 15 cases compared to their 28 first cases (93% vs. 51%, P<0.01) and concluded that experience in SLN detection increased significantly with time (15). This acquired experience had an impact in the improvement of SLN detection in subgroup of patients with higher risk of SLN detection failure such as patients with larger tumor size (45) and obese patients (52). According to Khoury-
Collado et al., the cut-off for learning curve in SLN biopsy in endometrial cancer should be fixed at 30 cases (51). In an ancillary analysis of SENTICOL I and SENTICOL II cohorts, bilateral detection rate was significantly higher in SENTICOL II cohort (2009–2012) than in SENTICOL I cohort (2005–2007), respectively 83.5% vs. 75%, P=0.04 (42). Moreover, centre with low surgical skills (≤1 case/year) had significantly lower bilateral detection rate than intermediate-skill centre (1–5 cases/year) and high-centre (>5 cases/year), 56.3% versus 78.3% and 83.1% respectively (P=0.02) (42).

As demonstrated in ovarian cancer, treatment in expert centre with a high-volume of surgical procedures is a quality indicator with better outcomes (53,54). Surgery should be performed by gynecologic oncologist and trained surgeon in a specialized team dedicated to management of gynecologic cancers (9).

Impact of ICG

As previously mentioned, the ESGO guidelines recommend for SLN mapping might be performed by a combined technique of blue dye with radiocolloid $^{99m}$Tc or by ICG alone (9). SLN detection using ICG and near-infrared fluorescence has been introduced in 2012 by Rossi et al. in cervical and endometrial cancer (55).

In a meta-analysis including 538 patients with cervical or endometrial cancer and comparing ICG to blue dyes with or without $^{99m}$Tc, Ruscito et al. have shown that ICG improved significantly overall detection rate (OR =0.27, 95% CI: 0.15–0.50, P<0.0001) and bilateral detection rate (OR =0.27, 95% CI: 0.19–0.40, P=0.00001) compared to blue dye alone. There were no differences in overall and bilateral detection rates between ICG and association of blue dyes and Technetium-99m (56). Near-infrared imaging with ICG enhances deeper visualization of SLN through adipose tissue with a penetration of 1 cm which may explain that ICG provides better SLN detection rate compared to blue dye in obese patients (57,58). In a randomized controlled trial comparing ICG to methylene blue in 132 patients with endometrial cancer, ICG increased significantly the SLN detection rate per hemipelvis of 26.5% compared to methylene blue (59). Recently, the prospective randomized FILM trial has shown better SLN detection rates with ICG compared to blue dye in patients with endometrial and cervical cancer (97% vs. 47%, P<0.0001) (60).

ICG have several advantages. This tracer is easier to use and does not require lymphoscintigraphy and no human or technical resources linked to a nuclear medicine department. In terms of cost, an ICG vial is cheaper than using Technetium-99m (61). Preoperative radiocolloid tracer injection may be painful whereas ICG is injected after the induction of general anaesthesia. Risk of allergies and anaphylactic shocks are higher with blue dye (62) than with ICG (63).

Based on these results, this technique is of growing interest and will surely become soon the agent of choice for a worldwide implementation because of its safety profile, its ease of use, its affordable low cost and its diagnostic performance. Although ICG is a fluorescent dye approved by the FDA (Food and Drug Administration) and the European Medicines Agency, it seems important to precise that ICG is used off-label for intratissular injection to date.

Anatomic SLN mapping

One of the interests of SLN technique is to highlight the atypical lymphatic drainage pathway in secondary lymphatic area that are not systematically dissected during routine lymphadenectomies (33,64). This technique is well appropriate to early-stage cervical cancer since the tracer injection is performed just around the tumor and reveal not only the cervical lymphatic drainage but the tumor lymphatic drainage as well.

Although most of SLNs are located in the interiliac and external ILAC area, SLNs have also been described in “unexpected” territories such as sacral, promontory and paraaortic areas (33,46–48). To describe SLN topography, Marnitz et al. suggested the following area classification by (46): paraaortic (area 1), common iliac (from the bifurcation of the aorta to the bifurcation of the iliac vessels, area 2), external iliac (along the external iliac vessels, area 3), interiliac (nodes medial to the external iliac artery and vein, in the obturator fossa and over the bifurcation of the common iliac artery, area 4), internal iliac (medial to the internal iliac vessels down to the uterine artery bifurcation, including the presacral nodes, area 5), and parametrium (nodes medial to the bifurcation of the uterine artery, area 6). Areas 3 and 4 are considered to be located in typical sites whereas the other areas (areas 1, 2, 5, and 6) are defined as atypical sites. In a meta-analysis of 27 articles which included 1301 patients with 3012 SLNs, Ouldamer et al. reported that 83.7% of SLNs were located in classic areas of the pelvis (obturator, external iliac, and internal iliac), 6.6% in the common iliac area, 4.3% in the parametrial area, 2.0% in the paraaortic area, 1.3% in the presacral area,
0.2% in the hypogastric area, 0.07% in the inguinal area, and 0.07% in the cardinal ligament area. Takeshita et al. described also SLNs in the circumflex iliac area (65). Even if most of metastases are located in the pelvic area, it was also described that positive lymph nodes were also found in gluteal, presacral, and paraaortic areas. Although the prevalence of involved nodes in these anatomical regions is low, this raises the question of the efficiency of systematic extended lymphadenectomy (30,66). Moreover, the knowledge of the pelvic lymphatic anatomy is fundamental to understand the extension of lymphatic involvement.

Several studies have described the uterine and cervical lymphatic drainage anatomy (67-70). More recently, some authors used ICG in SLN mapping for endometrial cancer and provided a surgical anatomic classification (41,71). They described: an upper paracervical pathway (UPP) following the uterine artery to draining medial external and/or obturator lymph nodes with a continued course lateral to the common iliac artery to the lateral precaval and paraaortic areas, a lower paracervical pathway (LPP) with through the sacrouterine ligament to the internal iliac and/or presacral draining nodes before continuing medial to the common iliac artery to the medial paraaortic and precaval areas and an Infundibulo-pelvic pathway (IPP) with a course along the fallopian tube and upper broad ligament via the Infundibulo-pelvic ligament to its origin. These pathways are linked to finer lymphatics at the level of the cardinal ligaments, thereafter, dividing into distinct non-communicating courses lateral and medial to the common iliac arteries with further drainage to the paraaortic area. The UPP and the LPP were the most frequent pathway. In patients with tumor size larger than 20 mm, usual lymphatic drainage may be altered (33). Lymphatic vessels may be obstructed by cancer cells and it may result in a modification of tumor lymphatic drainage. Furthermore, large tumors can have a central necrotic part that may change the diffusion of colorimetric or/and radiocolloid tracers (44). Tumor cells migration could use other lymphatic drainage pathway than that run to interiliac or external iliac area. More SLNs are found in atypical area in nulliparous women (33). During the pregnancy, endometrial stromal cell decidualization may induce some modifications of the repartition of lymphatic vessels which result in changing lymphatic network (72,73).

Atypical pathway are present in 25% of patients and are exclusive in 10% of patients (33). These atypical pathways might contain some isolated positive nodal metastases that would not be removed during routine pelvic lymphadenectomy. This technique may reduce the false-negative case of lymph node staging and residual disease missing which leads to an undertreatment of patients. The frequency and the positive nodes rate of each lymphatic area are similar to those described in systematic pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomies (23), demonstrating that the same anatomic information could be obtained with a less invasive technique. Moreover, the SLN technique facilitates the sampling of informative and representative nodes especially in atypical locations not covered by conventional lymphadenectomy.

**Diagnostic value**

The diagnostic value of SLN biopsy is one of the most critical aspects of this technique. It has been demonstrated that bilateral negative SLN strongly predicted the absence of pelvic node involvement (74,75). The main current challenge of this technique is to achieve maximal sensitivity and lowest false-negative rates (20). The false negativity rate is of paramount importance since the majority of node-negative patients do not receive adjuvant treatment and the nodal recurrence worsens severely the prognosis. Based on simple criteria such as early stage (IA2, IB1, IIA1 2018 FIGO stage), no suspicious pre-, and per-operative lymph nodes, and bilateral negative SLNs after ultrastaging, the false-negative rate may be very low at 0.08% in eligible patients (18).

Due to its central topography, historic anatomic work has proven that the uterine cervix has in fact a bilateral lymphatic drainage (76) and both hemipelvises have to be considered regarding two distinct lymphatic drainage pathway. Bilateral SLN detection is therefore of utmost importance to be fully reliable (74,75,77). However, bilateral SLN detection is obtained in 60% of cases only (18). In a large prospective cohort of 405 patients, lower bilateral SLN detection rate was associated with Age ≥70 years, tumor size larger than 20 mm and Body-mass index higher than 30 kg/m² (42). As previously mentioned, a learning-curve for SLN biopsy is required and the experience of the surgeon is essential.

Applying the MSKCC algorithm that is based on bilateral detection and sampling of all labeled nodes appears as a pertinent strategy since the majority of involved nodes are more likely diagnosed from the SLN removed rather than the non SLN ones (17). Following an anatomically-based surgical algorithm, a precise and careful dissection of the retroperitoneal space may help to find SLN in atypical localization (48). Moreover, the SLN status may be considered
to predict the parametrial involvement and to determine the type of radical hysterectomy to be performed (78,79).

Histopathology

Frozen section

The FSE aims to determine intraoperatively the SLN status. Current guidelines suggest to submit SLNs to FSE to decide if a hysterectomy is to be pursued during the same surgical intervention (9). When positive SLN is found at FSE, two decisions have to be made: on one hand, abandoning or performing the radical hysterectomy and on the other hand, abandoning or performing pelvic +/- paraaortic lymphadenectomy (80). If a radical hysterectomy is performed in this setting, it has to be considered nonetheless that combined treatment should be avoided due to the increased morbidity of surgery associated with adjuvant radiotherapy (9,81). The SLN status is particularly crucial in case of fertility-sparing procedure since trachelectomy should not be performed if SLN are involved. This selective nodal sampling is particularly appropriate for the patients with the lowest risk of node involvement who are potentially eligible to simple trachelectomy or conisation (82).

The accuracy of FSE constitutes one of the main limitations of the SLN biopsy because of its limited diagnostic value and low ability to detect low-volume metastases such as Isolated Tumor Cells (ITCs) and micrometastases (83-88). If all type of metastases are considered, sensitivity ranged from 42.3% to 87.5% and negative predictive value ranged from 89.7% to 98% whereas sensitivity ranged from 56.4% to 88.9% and negative predictive value ranged from 91% to 98.8% if ITCs were excluded (84,85,87-90). These wide ranges of value in the literature might be explained by the poor sensitivity of FSE to detect low-volume metastases (ITCs and micrometastases), a better sensitivity for macrometastases and different SLN involvement rate in each population study (84,89). Applying FSE in a population with less macrometastases and more low-volume metastases may lead to a decreased sensitivity of FSE. By simply bisecting the SLN along its long axis and staining with hematoxylin-eosin (HE), a non-negligible proportion of macrometastases would also be missed by FSE in 14.9% to 16.7% of cases (84,90). Slicing 2-mm intervals the SLN along the short axis may increase the accuracy of intraoperative SLN examination (86,87).

Several other factors may affect the false-negativity rate of FSE. A meticulous and specific protocol of pathologic processing, a higher volume of cases and the pathology team expertise might improve this technique (85,90). The FSE is more efficient in smaller tumor size (<20 mm or <20 cm3) since the risk of low-volume metastases is higher in larger tumor (84,90). The presence of LVSI and preoperative brachytherapy were also associated with false negativity of FSE (84,90).

Clinical relevance by focusing on macroscopic suspicious nodes has to be determined (84). For grossly suspicious SLN, a single section seems appropriate and surrounding adipose tissue lied to the node should be spared to assess extranodal extension. The presence of enlarged nodes nonetheless had no impact on the accuracy of intraoperative examination SLN (84). By contrast, performing frozen section of grossly normal nodes is subject to debate and caution should be paid for small nodes. Levelling the blocks too deep may expose to the risk of loss of tissue for final pathologic examination and may adversely affects the quality of ultrastaging and immunohistochemistry (20). The accuracy of FSE might be improved by using alternative technique such as the one-step nucleic acid amplification (OSNA) technique (91) or HPV DNA testing (92). Recently, Bizzarri et al. have shown that OSNA technique was efficient to detect intraoperatively low-volume metastases in SLN (93).

Ultrastaging

The small number of sampled nodes during the SLN biopsy allows to use more sensitive processing techniques such as immunohistochemistry and polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Ultrastaging, combining serial sectioning and immunohistochemistry, enhances to detect low-volume such as ITCs (<0.2 mm) and micrometastases (0.2–2 mm) which are rarely found with one-cut section and conventional coloration. This strategy increases the prevalence of node-positive patients up to 15% (27) and improves the nodal staging, especially when SLN are detected in both hemipelvis (77). Moreover, performing ultrastaging and immunohistochemistry on non-SLN does not change the false-negative rate (94). Compared to OSNA technique, Santoro et al. highlighted that ultrastaging protocol had higher sensitivity and more reliability in prediction pelvic non-SLN status (95). SLNs bring also other valuable prognostic information. In patients with negative SLN, HPV-mRNA is a molecular marker for disseminated tumor cells and its detection in negative SLN is an independent
prognostic factor of recurrence (92). In early-stage cervical, the SLN is the site of a pre-metastatic lymphangiogenesis (pre-metastatic niche) which lead to specific humoral immune response (96). In a near future, these immunologic factors will provide a more precise prognostic assessment compared to current surgicopathologic factors.

The clinical significance of low volume metastases (micrometastases and ITCs) remains unclear. According to the revised FIGO classification, the presence of micrometastases is classified as IIIC stage whereas ITCs might be noted without modifying the stage (8,97). Few data are available on ITCs prognostic value but ITCs seem to have no impact on oncologic outcomes and do not change oncologic management (98). In a retrospective cohort of 894 patients, Horn et al. reported that patients with micrometastasis had a significant decreased 5-year disease-free survival compared to N0 patients (68.9% vs. 91.4%, P<0.001) and micrometastasis were found to be an independent prognostic factor by multivariate analysis (25). In a multicentric retrospective cohort of 645 patients, Cibula et al. found that micrometastases in SLN was associated with a similar overall survival as macrometastases, whereas ITCs had no impact on overall survival (99). These results were concordant to those published by Colturato et al. who have shown that micrometastasis was a significant risk factor for tumor recurrence (P≤0.030) (100).

However, these results are counterbalanced by most recent prospective studies (5,26,101). In SENTICOL I cohort, no significant difference in disease-free survival between patients with positive or negative lymph nodes was found (91.6% vs. 90.4%, respectively; P=0.49). In addition, among the 8 patients with SLNs positive for micrometastases, only one had a vaginal recurrence. These preliminary results have to be interpreted with caution because ultrastaging was performed on SLN a few months after primary surgery and did not impact on adjuvant treatment decision. Nonetheless, these results were confirmed in the pooled analysis of SENTICOL I and II cohorts and patients with low-volume metastasis had similar disease-free survival (DFS) (92.7%) to N0 patients (93.6%) (26). In a subset of 19 patients with low-volume metastases (10 with micrometastases and 9 with ITCs), the authors concluded that adjuvant treatment did not seem to affect the recurrence rate (P=0.5) and disease-free survival was comparable to those reported in historical cohorts (101).

Due to the low prevalence of ITCs and micrometastases, prospective multicenter clinical trials seem hardly appropriate to confirm these data and a large collaborative network cooperation would be required to determine the risk associated with these low-volume metastases in cervical cancer and their subsequent management.

**Morbidity and quality of life**

Full pelvic lymphadenectomy results in a high postoperative morbidity, especially lower limbs lymphedema. This morbidity is increased when circonflex iliac nodes are removed beyond external iliac nodes (102), in patients with body-mass index higher than 25 kg/m² or in case of adjuvant radiotherapy (103,104). One of the main clinical benefit of SLN biopsy is the reduced morbidity associated with pelvic lymphadenectomy. In a prospective study, Niikura et al. have shown a decrease of lower limbs lymphedema rate in patients with SLN biopsy alone compared to those who underwent full lymphadenectomy (8.7% vs. 42%, P=0.03) (12). In the SENTICOL II trial, SLN biopsy induced less lymphovascular morbidity compared to SLN biopsy associated with pelvic lymphadenectomy (31.4% vs. 51.5%, P=0.0046) and less early postoperative neurologic symptoms (7.8% vs. 20.6% P=0.01) with similar 3-year disease-free survival (11).

In a retrospective study comparing 1,188 patients with bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy to 100 patients with bilateral SLN biopsy, Lennox et al. highlighted that SLN biopsy was associated with shorter operative-time (2 vs. 2.8 h, P<0.001), less intraoperative blood loss (100 vs. 500 mL, P<0.001), less transfusion (0% vs. 23%, P<0.001), less post-operative infection (0% vs. 11%, P=0.001) and shorter hospital stay (32).

Considering the young age of patients and the good prognosis of early-stage, quality of life of patients is of paramount importance. According to SF-36 scale, Gianoni et al. demonstrated trend for a better quality of life and less severe leg heaviness and leg fatigue when a full pelvic lymphadenectomy was avoided (105).

**Perspective**

Despite all these data, SLN biopsy alone is not considered as gold-standard for SLN staging yet. The critical endpoint is the long-term survival of patients with bilateral negative SLN who are deemed to be free of nodal involvement and who did not receive adjuvant treatment. The survival and the oncologic outcomes of patients who underwent SLN biopsy alone are poorly reported in the literature. In the retrospective analysis of Lennox and Covens, there was
no difference between patients who underwent bilateral SLN biopsy alone and those who underwent bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy in terms of 2-year DFS (97% vs. 95%) and 5-year DFS (93% vs. 92%) (32). In a post-hoc analysis of both SENTICOL I and SENTICOL II cohorts presented at the ASCO 2020, the DFS patients with bilateral negative SLN were similar to that of node-negative patients who underwent bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy, 85.1% vs. 80.4%, P=0.24 respectively (106). However, both of these studies were not designed to demonstrate the non-inferiority of SLN biopsy in terms of survival.

Therefore, prospective clinical trials with large cohort are mandatory to validate the oncologic safety of SLN biopsy alone in cervical cancer. The results of the LACC trial have proven that only randomized clinical trial thoroughly conducted can evaluate medical and therapeutic techniques (107,108).

To date, three ongoing prospective clinical trials aim to assess the oncological outcomes of SLN biopsy in early stage cervical cancer: the SENTIX trial (109), the PHENIX trial (110) and the SENTICOL III trial (111). The SENTIX trial (NCT02494063) is a prospective multicentric observational trial which aims to assess the 2-year recurrence rate after SLN biopsy alone. The PHENIX trial (NCT02642471) is multi-center randomized controlled trial which aim to compare the oncological outcomes of SLN biopsy with pelvic lymphadenectomy in patients with (2-year DFS) and without (3-year DFS) SLN metastasis. The SENTICOL III trial is a prospective multicentric randomized trial which aims to compare 3-year disease-free survival between SLN biopsy alone and SLN biopsy with pelvic lymphadenectomy.

Conclusions

The SLN biopsy is a sturdy alternative to systematic full pelvic lymphadenectomy for lymph node staging in early-stage cervical cancer. This technique illustrates the type of targeted and precise surgery and is drawn in the dynamic of less aggressive management of cervical cancer. In regard with the abundant literature, there is a trend in the acceptance of SLN biopsy in current clinical practice. In addition to a decreased morbidity, SLN provides more precise anatomic mapping and accurate histologic data. The 3 ongoing prospective studies mentioned above will offer decisive data that will definitively validate SLN biopsy for lymph node staging in early-stage cervical cancer.
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