Oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma (OTSCC) is the most common carcinoma of the oral cavity, and according to a recent analysis of the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database, patients with OTSCC are more likely to experience cause-specific mortality than patients with SCC of the other oral subsites (1). The traditional tumor (T), lymph node (N) and metastasis (M) staging system has been the cornerstone for clinical classification of OTSCC, although it has been widely criticized for its low prognostic value, especially in early-stage cases (2,3).

Recently, the 8th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC8) staging manual has been released (4), and it includes numerous changes and modifications of the TNM system that differ from the previous 7th edition (AJCC7). For OTSCC, the most significant change in the 8th edition was in the tumor (T) category by incorporation of depth of invasion (DOI). In addition, extranodal extension (ENE) was added to the lymph node category (N).

Since the changes in the AJCC8 will influence clinical decision-making, it is necessary to evaluate the prognostic implementation of the newly introduced TNM staging. In this scenario, retrospective comparative studies have been conducted to determine whether the 8th edition TNM staging showed any improvement in the classification and prognostication over the 7th edition. Mascitti and co-authors (5) investigated the prognostic value of the AJCC7 vs. the AJCC8 staging system in 73 patients with OTSCC. According to the evaluation with AJCC8, 25 cases were reclassified, and in particular, the use of ENE in pN classification identified patients with worse disease-free survival and overall survival (P<0.05). We also recently re-classified our multicenter cohort of 311 early-stage cases (cT1–2N0, based on the 7th edition) according to the AJCC8, and compared the prognostic capacity of both systems (6). We noted that many cases were upstaged (even from cT1 to cT3) and were associated with worse disease-specific survival and disease-free survival (6). Similarly, in a cohort of 298 OSCC cases, upstaging was common in pT and in pN classifications, and those upstaged cases associated with poorer prognosis (7). Moeckelmann et al. (8), in their cohort of 663 OSCC cases, were critical of the AJCC8 staging system, noting that it is more complex to use than AJCC7 due to the inclusion of DOI and ENE. However, they showed that AJCC8 stratified the survival of the patients better than the previous staging (8). These researchers also found that prognostic implementation of AJCC8 staging of OSCC was similar regardless of whether thickness of the tumor or DOI was used for T-stage (9).

The assessment of DOI can be analyzed already from the hematoxylin and eosin stained biopsies in cases where they are deep enough and taken from the most representative tumor area. Alternatively, using ultrasonography, tumor thickness is possible to evaluate preoperatively (10). In our opinion, the incorporation of the third dimension (depth or
thickness) in the T-category is very important as it assesses the clinical behavior through the measurement of the amount of tumor infiltration. Importantly, incorporation of DOI will cause a striking difference in the staging of many cases. For example, a superficial tumor with minimum dimension (≤2 cm) was considered as T1 similar to a deep tumor (>10 mm) with the same diameter (≤2 cm) according the AJCC7. Now, in the AJCC8, the superficial tumor is classified as T1, while the deep tumor (>10 mm) is classified as T3, although both have the same dimension of ≤2 cm. This remarkable change in the classification category of small OTSCC was noted in our recent study (6).

For decades, revealing the most informative parameters in OSCC staging has been a focus of research in order to improve the prognostic value of the TNM classification. The improved clinical relevance of the current AJCC8, compared to AJCC7, is a big step towards separating more precisely the low- and high-risk OSCC cases. The recent studies on OSCC from different geographic regions indicated that the prognostic significances of the criteria released in the AJCC8 staging manual are better than in the AJCC7 (5-8). Further international multicenter studies applying the AJCC8, possibly with some additional practical parameters, should be conducted in order to potentially further improve the prognostic value of OTSCC/OSCC patients using TNM staging.
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