Intervention Chemotherapy in Advanced Ovarian Cancer: Optimal Patient Selection and Response Evaluation
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Abstract: Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) is the leading cause of death from gynecologic malignancy and is the seventh most common cancer among women worldwide. The primary debulking surgery (PDS) followed by adjuvant chemotherapy (ACT) is a standard treatment of advanced EOC. However, there are still several limitations, such as high recurrence rate and subsequent chemoresistance. To date, many studies have reported that neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) followed by interval debulking surgery (IDS) shows non-inferior survival outcome and is associated with less postoperative morbidity compared with PDS. Therefore, NACT-IDS might be an alternative treatment strategy in advanced EOC. Nevertheless, the efficacy of NACT-IDS and selection of patients who would gain benefit from NACT-IDS are still on debate. To date, several studies have been conducted to predict the response of NACT using various methods, such as imaging studies and molecular biology techniques. In this article, the optimal indications for NACT using various methods will be discussed.
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Introduction

Epithelial malignancies of ovarian, fallopian tube and peritoneal origin demonstrate similar clinical characteristics and behavior. So these malignancies have been categorized together as epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) in clinical trials or clinical practice. According to the World Health Organization report, the annual incidence of EOC is estimated as 225,500 with 140,200 deaths worldwide, affecting 3.7% of all female cancers and 4.2% of cancer deaths (1). Approximately 80% of EOC cases are diagnosed at advanced stage, the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) stage III and IV, resulting in poor survival outcome (2). Most patients experience disease relapse within the first 5 years despite primary aggressive treatment, whereas only 20–25% of cases are cured. Furthermore, the 5-year survival rate of patients with advanced EOC has not been improved in the last decade.

Current standard therapy for patients with advanced EOC is primary debulking surgery (PDS), followed by adjuvant chemotherapy (ACT) with a combination of paclitaxel and carboplatin (3). Complete or optimal cytoreductive surgery, defined as grossly no residual cancer or <10 mm of residual disease at the end of surgery, respectively, is known as the most important prognostic factor. Recently, interval debulking surgery (IDS) after a short course of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) has become an alternative treatment strategy for EOC patients expecting non-optimal cytoreduction during PDS. Several
randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have reported that patients who underwent NACT-IDS had significantly lower adverse effect and surgical mortality rate after IDS than those who underwent PDS (4). Survival outcomes, such as progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS), were similar between NACT-IDS and PDS (5-7). The use of NACT gradually increased from 16% during 2003 to 2010 to 34% during 2011 to 2012 in stage IIIC disease, and from 41% to 62% in stage IV disease (8).

In accordance with the increased use of NACT, the efficacy of NACT-IDS and selection of patients who would gain benefit from NACT-IDS are still on debate. In this review, we will describe the up to date evidence and indications of NACT, using various diagnostic attempts to improve treatment outcomes of NACT.

Clinical trials comparing NACT-IDS with PDS

**EORTC 55971 trial**

In 1989, the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) and a group of the Netherlands initiated RCTs comparing PDS with NACT-IDS, separately. However, due to biases in patient selection and definition of PDS between groups, the studies did not accrue and were early closed (9). Ten years later, the EORTC and National Cancer Institute of Canada (NCIC) initiated a similar trial which completed accrual in 2006. EORTC 55971 trial was a multicenter, non-inferiority RCT including 668 patients with stage IIIIC-IV EOC, randomly assigned to either PDS followed by six cycles of carboplatin and paclitaxel or to NACT with carboplatin and paclitaxel for three cycles followed by IDS and another adjuvant three cycles of carboplatin and paclitaxel (5). Compared with PDS, NACT-IDS had a lower rate of complications after initial surgery, including fewer postoperative deaths (0.7% vs. 2.5%), infections (2% vs. 7%), grade 3/4 hemorrhage (4% vs. 7%) and thrombotic events (0% vs. 2.6%), and a higher rate of optimal cytoreduction (80.6% vs. 41.6%). On the other hand, PFS [median, 12 months in both arm; hazard ratio (HR), 1.01; 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.86–1.17] and OS (median, 29 vs. 30 months; HR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.82–1.18) were not significantly different. Interestingly, patients treated with PDS showed a trend toward better OS, compared with those who underwent NACT, if there was no residual disease at the time of surgery (median, 45 vs. 38 months; P=0.05) or if there was microscopic residual disease only (median, 32 vs. 27 months; P=0.05). This trial emphasized potential risks of disease progression and diagnostic imprecision associated with NACT. Approximately, a tenth of NACT-IDS patients was unable to undergo IDS, due to disease progression. Accurate comparison is almost impossible between PDS and NACT-IDS group, because of the incomplete surgical staging of NACT. Therefore, some patients wrongly diagnosed or staged and subsequently subjected to incorrect chemotherapy and unnecessary surgery after NACT. In this study, about 3% of patients (n=18) experienced changes in their diagnosis after surgery.

This study has a novelty for the large cohort research on NACT but has been criticized for a wide variety of chemotherapy regimens. Only 78% of patients in PDS cohort and 88% of patients in NACT-IDS cohort received platinum and taxane-based chemotherapy. Another limitation of this trial is that the selection of patient and the surgical technique are not completely uniformed between research institutes. Above all, the rate of optimal cytoreduction in the PDS was only 42%, suggesting that maximal surgical effort was not uniformly attempted (7,10).

**The CHemotherapy OR Upfront Surgery (CHORUS) trial**

This large cohort study was a non-inferiority trial that included 552 patient with advanced EOC [16% (n=72) with stage IV and 80% (n=355) with stage III, respectively] recruited from 87 hospitals in the UK and New Zealand, then randomly assigned to PDS or NACT-IDS (6,7). To reduce biases, all women had a clinical assessment with image studies for advanced EOC then underwent randomization followed by a biopsy. Among patients randomly assigned to PDS, only 24% of patients achieved optimal cytoreduction surgery. In this study, NACT-IDS shows similar OS (median, 24.5 vs. 22.8 months) and PFS (median, 11.7 vs. 10.3 months), compared to PDS. The HR for death was 0.87 in the NACT-IDS arm (95% CI, 0.72–1.05), which was not inferior to PDS arm. The low rate of optimal cytoreduction in the PDS arm could reduce the potential survival benefit (11).

**JCOG 0602 trial**

Two earlier studies, EORTC55971 and CHORUS, demonstrated non-inferior survival rate of patients treated with NACT; however, they could not evaluate treatment invasiveness of NACT (4). The Japanese Clinical Oncology Group (JCOG) 0602 trial compared PDS followed by eight
cycles of chemotherapy with four cycles of NACT followed by IDS plus four cycles of chemotherapy (12). In this study, the NACT group showed better surgical qualities and less invasiveness than PDS group: fewer total surgery times per patient (mean 0.86 vs. 1.32), shorter operation time (median, 273 vs. 341 minutes), less resection of abdominal organ (23.7% vs. 37.6%) or and distant metastases (3.9% vs. 10.7%), less blood loss during surgery (median, 787 vs. 3,235 mL), less albumin transfusion f (26.2% vs. 58.5%), and less adverse events after surgery (4.6% vs. 15.0%) (4).

In many studies, NACT-IDS is associated with higher rate of optimal cytoreduction, lower rate of peri-operative morbidity as well as post-surgical mortality, and better quality of life (QOL) than PDS in patients with advanced EOC (13). Table 1 shows a summary of clinical trials comparing NACT-IDS and PDS. Up to now, many studies suggest that there is no difference of OS rate between the two groups. Table 2 summarizes information of ongoing phase III clinical trials.

**Patient selection for NACT**

To date, there is no consensus on which patients with advanced EOC should be offered NACT-IDS or PDS. Poor operative conditions, such as medical comorbidities, a poor performance status, or old age, are common indications...
Patients with apparently unresectable disease who are impossible to achieve optimal cytoreduction at PDS are also indicated for NACT. According to literature, unresectable diseases are commonly defined as ascites >1,000 mL, omental extension to the spleen >1 cm, liver parenchymal disease >1 cm, porta hepati involvement >1 cm, diaphragmatic disease >1 cm, peritoneal carcinomatosis >1 cm, and suprarenal para-aortic lymphadenopathy >1 cm (14-21). The indications for NACT were summarized in Table 3 (22). However, the unresectable disease can be defined differently depending on the surgical capability of operators. Therefore, further research is needed to apply the criteria of surgically unresectable disease to the clinical management guidelines (23,24).

Attempts to identify the subset of patients most likely to benefit from NACT are under active investigation. An exploratory analysis of patients recruited to the EORTC 55971 trial was done to determine the factors related to the good prognosis with NACT-IDS rather than PDS (25). In this study, age, WHO performance status, tumor grade, tumor histology, serum CA-125, presence of the pelvic mass, or presence of the omental cake were not prognostic factors for 5-year survival rates by treatment modality. Interestingly, size of the largest metastatic mass was the only prognostic factor for 5-year OS following primary surgery. Stage IIIC patients with metastatic tumors <45 mm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Ongoing phase III RCT for NACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics of clinical trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study of Upfront Surgery Versus Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Patients With Advanced Ovarian Cancer (SUNNY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIII or IV epithelial ovarian cancer, fallopian tube cancer, or peritoneal carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDS vs. NACT/IDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of estimated enrollments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China, Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFS; post-operative complication; quality of life assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClinicalTrials.gov identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02859038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACT, adjuvant chemotherapy; IDS, interval debulking surgery; NACT, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; NACT, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; OS, overall survival; PDS, primary debulking surgery; PFS, progression-free survival.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Current indications of NACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy proven advanced epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, and fallopian tubal cancers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor performance status and/or medical condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT findings with:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I) diaphragmatic disease &gt;2 cm;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(II) extra-peritoneal disease such as lung and brain metastases;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(III) multiple liver parenchymal metastases requiring total resection of liver;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IV) pancreatic head and body metastasis;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V) involvement of the porta hepati;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(VI) suprarenal para-aortic lymphadenopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT, computerized tomography; LN, lymph node; NACT, neoadjuvant chemotherapy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of NACT. Patients with apparently unresectable disease who are impossible to achieve optimal cytoreduction at PDS are also indicated for NACT. According to literature, unresectable diseases are commonly defined as ascites >1,000 mL, omental extension to the spleen >1 cm, liver parenchymal disease >1 cm, porta hepati involvement >1 cm, diaphragmatic disease >1 cm, peritoneal carcinomatosis >1 cm, and suprarenal para-aortic lymphadenopathy >1 cm (14-21). The indications for NACT were summarized in Table 3 (22). However, the unresectable disease can be defined differently depending on the surgical capability of operators. Therefore, further research is needed to apply the criteria of surgically unresectable disease to the clinical management guidelines (23,24).

Attempts to identify the subset of patients most likely to benefit from NACT are under active investigation. An exploratory analysis of patients recruited to the EORTC 55971 trial was done to determine the factors related to the good prognosis with NACT-IDS rather than PDS (25). In this study, age, WHO performance status, tumor grade, tumor histology, serum CA-125, presence of the pelvic mass, or presence of the omental cake were not prognostic factors for 5-year survival rates by treatment modality. Interestingly, size of the largest metastatic mass was the only prognostic factor for 5-year OS following primary surgery. Stage IIIC patients with metastatic tumors <45 mm
showed more benefits from PDS, whereas stage IV patients with metastatic tumors >45 mm showed more benefits from NACT-IDS. In stage IIIC patients with large metastatic tumors and in stage IV patients with less extensive metastatic tumors, both treatments were equally effective. However, these results still remain to be determined and explained with further studies.

An RCT study by Meyer et al. provided important evidence for appropriate patient selection for NACT (8). In this study, NACT-IDS arm was associated with shorter OS in stage IIIC disease than PDS arm (median, 33 vs. 43 months; HR, 1.40; 95% CI, 1.11 to 1.77), but not in stage IV disease (median, 31 vs. 36 months; HR, 1.16; 95% CI, 0.89 to 1.52). For patients with stage IIIC and IV disease, NACT-IDS arm was less likely to have ≥1 cm of postoperative residual disease, an intensive care unit admission, or a rehospitalization than PDS arm. However, among patients with stage IIIC disease who achieved microscopic or <1 cm of residual disease after surgery, NACT was associated with decreased OS (HR, 1.49; 95% CI, 1.01 to 2.18).

However, the limitation of this study was that all the patients were surgically staged. Therefore, still questions are left; (I) which strategy is better for the patients with stage IIic-IV disease, PDS or IDS-NACT, (II) which technique is ideal to evaluate the presence of residual tumor after NACT, CT, PET-CT or others, (III) how many cycles are optimal to achieve the best benefit of NACT before planning IDS (26).

**Imaging techniques evaluating response to NACT**

Baseline imaging studies, such as computed tomography (CT) have been used as the standard evaluation tool for status of advanced EOC. In NACT-IDS, objective response to chemotherapy is first evaluated after 2–3 cycles of chemotherapy. However, it is hard to measure the size of gross tumor mass or diffuse small nodules in a reproducible and precise way for the evaluation of chemotherapy response (27). For the present, tumor response is defined as at least a 30% decrease of the maximum tumor diameter in solid tumors according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) which is determined after several cycles of chemotherapy (28). So, patients may undergo multiple cycles of ineffective treatment, and delay opportunities to cure with an alternative therapy. Therefore, specific biomarkers and imaging techniques predicting the response to the chemotherapy should be developed to avoid the complications and increase the efficacy of NACT. The imaging techniques for prediction of the response to NACT will be discussed.

**18 Fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography (18F-FDG-PET)**

18F-FDG-PET has been used in advanced EOC to improve early detection of response and metastatic site (28). Avril et al. suggested that changes in FDG uptake during early treatment course can predict the effectiveness of NACT and patient outcome. They prospectively conducted the sequential FDG-PET imaging at baseline, after the first cycle and third cycle of NACT in 33 patients. The mean and changes of standardized uptake value (SUV) of tumor over the treatment course were then compared with clinical, histopathologic response and OS. They defined the responder as 20% decrease in FDG uptake after the first cycle of chemotherapy and 55% decrease in FDG uptake after the first third of chemotherapy. Only 26 out of 33 patients had an FDG-PET scan after the first cycle of chemotherapy and 15 out of 26 patients were responders after the first cycle of NACT (mean decrease in SUV, 59.5%±19%) and 11 were non-responders (mean decrease in SUV, 4%±13.3%) according to the definition. After the third cycle of chemotherapy, on the other hand, the most optimal difference in metabolic responders were 18 patients and non-responders were 15 patients. The overall decrease in SUV in metabolic responders was 50.1% after the first cycle and 76.2% after the third cycle of chemotherapy in 26 patients. Maximal metabolic changes (65.7% of decrease in SUV) were observed within the first 2 weeks (16±4.7 days) after initiation of chemotherapy in metabolic responders. There was a significant correlation between OS rate and the metabolic response after the first (P=0.008) and the third cycle (P=0.005) of chemotherapy. The median OS for metabolic responders after the first cycle of chemotherapy was 38.3 months, compared with 23.1 months for metabolic non-responders, and after the third cycle, the median OS was 38.9 months for metabolic responders compared with 19.7 months for metabolic non-responders.

18 FDG-PET was reported to identify histopathological non-responders from the patients with advanced EOC who underwent platinum-based NACT (29). Vallius et al. recruited 26 patients who had primarily inoperable EOC, treated with NACT, and underwent 18F-FDG-PET/CT imaging before diagnostic laparoscopy after three to four
cycles of NACT. The relationship between the decreasing rates in omental maximum SUV (SUVmax) from pre- to post-NACT, and surgical finding of omental histopathology was evaluated. After NACT, 64% decrease (range 16% to 84%) of omental SUVmax was associated with histopathological response (OR, 0.9; 95% CI, 0.84–0.97; P=0.004). However, SUVmax change was not associated with PFS in this study.

18F-FDG-PET may be helpful for choosing the exact time of cytoreductive surgery. Martoni et al. prospectively measured the changes in metabolic activity of the tumor at baseline, postcycle 3 and postcycle 6 of NACT in 46 patients who were inappropriate for PDS (30). Patients underwent surgery after 6 cycles of NACT and their histopathological response was compared with percentage changes in the SUVmax. They found that in patients with 100% decrease of SUVmax at 3 cycles, 88% achieved complete pathological response and no residual disease in debulking surgery, whereas for those patients with a <100% decrease in SUVmax at 3 cycles, only 24% were pathological responders at the end of 6 cycles of NACT. This study suggests that patients who have better metabolic response may get treatment benefit from completing 6 cycles of NACT prior to IDS. The patients with a partial metabolic response lesion could have treatment benefit of IDS after 3 cycles.

**Functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)**

Functional MRI, such as diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE)-MRI, have been used for the assessment of the response to NACT in advanced EOC. DWI use apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) of MRI with a specific software that quantifiable the molecular diffusion depending on pulsed field gradients. Molecular diffusion reflects biological gradients of tissue components, such as water, and fibers. The unique diffusion patterns can, therefore, reveal microscopic details about tissue architecture, altering in response to chemotherapy. On the other hand, DCE-MRI allows quantification of changes in tissue vascularity, which may be disrupted in response to chemotherapy.

Kyriazi et al. performed a prospective study for 42 cases of primary or recurrent advanced EOC to identify the role of DWI in chemotherapy response (31). To quantify changes in the tumor before and after treatment, they found that responders showed a significant change in histogram parameters of ADC after the first and third cycle of NACT, whereas there were no significant changes in non-responders. The change in the 25th percentile of the histogram parameters demonstrated a significant predictability of the treatment response, showing better positive predictive value than CA-125 level or RECIST. However, it did not improve the negative predictive value for the identification of non-responders and percentage change in the 25th percentile did not predict 6-month PFS.

Sala et al. investigated the association of response to NACT with DWI and DCE-MR in 22 patients with primary advanced EOC (32). Responders showed a significant increase in the ADC and volume of the extravascular extracellular space [V(e)] of the DCE-MR image due to the cytotoxic effect of chemotherapy. But significant changes were not found in omental or peritoneal deposits but only in the primary ovarian lesion. The authors concluded that ADC and V(e) parameters can be useful markers reflecting the response to platinum-based NACT in the primary ovarian lesion.

**Real-time ultrasound elastography**

Elastography is a modality that maps the elastic properties or stiffness of soft tissue using conventional real-time ultrasound equipment. Generally, the color scale varies within a large band spectrum from red, which may indicate hard and barely elastic tissue, to blue, which may indicate soft and highly elastic tissue on elastogram. Xie et al. investigated the findings of transvaginal and transabdominal sonography, followed by elastography and IDS histopathology (33). Their hypothesis was that the stiffness of tissue in response to NACT would become high due to cellular apoptosis. They scored tissue elasticity from 1 (soft) to 4 (stiff) according to stiffness findings on elastogram. Of 24 patients with advanced EOC, the elasticity score was higher in the post-NACT lesions than in the pre-NACT lesions (mean, 3.13±0.57 and median 2 vs. mean, 2.04±0.51 and median 4). Post-NACT patients with scores of 3 and 4 had a higher rate of optimal cytoreduction than those with scores of 1 and 2 (93.8% vs. 25.0%), with 88.2% sensitivity, 85.7% specificity, 93.8% positive predictive value, 75.0% negative predictive value and 87.5% accuracy. The authors suggested that elastography is a sensitive tool for the evaluation of NACT efficacy in patients with advanced EOC. However, due to the limited sensitivity of ultrasound evaluating advanced EOC, it is challenging to apply the elastography to clinical practices. So further studies on appropriate use are needed.
**Biomarkers**

**Cancer antigen 125 (CA-125)**

CA-125 is the most widely used serum tumor marker for EOC, and decreasing CA-125 levels are correlated with the response to chemotherapy (34), whereas normalisation of CA-125 prior to IDS is associated with improved survival with NACT-IDS. Absolute CA-125 levels and CA-125 regression are known to be predicting outcome, post-operatively (35). Sixty-three patients were evaluated to know whether CA-125 regression is used as a prognostic indicator and predictor of optimal cytoreduction at IDS at NACT setting (36). Authors concluded that CA-125 regression rate predicts the optimal cytoreduction and could be an independent prognostic factor for NACT-IDS.

**Immune parameters**

Many clinical and experimental studies have shown that the host immune system plays an important role in the control of cancer growth (37,38). A meta-analysis showed that a high number of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) is significantly associated with an improved survival rate in EOC (39). Mesnage *et al.* reported that the number of TILs are prognostic indicators not only at diagnosis but also after NACT, retrospectively (40). They compared the TIL number of 83 patients between pre and post-NACT. Following NACT, an overall increase of median TILs from 20% to 30% was observed (P=0.0005). Patients with platinum-free interval ≥6 months had significantly higher post-NACT stromal TILs than those with platinum-free interval <6 months (28% vs. 18%; P=0.026). They concluded that high TILs both pre- and post-NACT were independent prognostic factors for PFS (HR 0.49, P=0.02 and HR 0.60, P=0.05, respectively). Research on immune parameters is still on going, possibly promising biomarkers for selecting immunotherapy in patients after NACT.

**Tumor cell-free DNA (cfDNA)**

CfDNA is short fragments of nucleic acids containing mutations in the plasma after apoptosis of cancer cells, germline mutations, and somatic mosaic mutations of non-neoplastic DNA. Although less than 1% of circulating cfDNA comes from cancer cells, the amount increases in patients with advanced disease (41). Many studies have shown that tumor cfDNA can be used for screening, therapeutic decision-making, prognostication, and to predict resistance mechanisms of therapy for cancer patients (42-44). Likewise in patients with EOC, plasma cfDNA can be used as an independent prognostic biomarker and increased tumor cfDNA is associated with poor survival rate which shows better sensitivity and specificity than CA-125 (45).

As a promising novel prognostic biomarker, studies on plasma cfDNA as a response index of NACT have also been conducted. There was a study of genetic variants’ analysis for 20 patients with HGSC using pre- and post-NACT tumors by next-generation sequencing (NGS) (46). A total of 86 genes had changes in RNA expression after NACT. Including SNPs, 38 genetic variants from 6 genes (TP53, KDR, KIT, PIK3CA, KRAS, and PTEN) were identified in pre-NACT tumors, while 59 variants from 19 genes were detected in the cfDNA. Of the 59 variants in the pre-NACT plasma, only 6 persisted, whereas 33 of 38 specific variants in the pre-NACT tumor persisted. Authors compared 4 genes (PIK3CA I391M, KIT M541L41, KDR Q472H, and TP53 P72R) which are commonly present at both cfDNA and tumor to evaluate precision and sensitivity of pre- and post-NACT. But all of these 4 genes do not show any significant sensitivity and precision of treatment.

**Other biomarkers**

The role of tissue biomarkers in prognostication following NACT is not well established. Recently, the expression of tissue biomarkers such as p53, MIB1, estrogen and progesterone receptors, Her-2/neu, E-cadherin, and Bcl2 was evaluated for NACT biomarkers (47). Following NACT, significant differences in tumor histomorphology were observed in comparison with the chemo-naive neoplasms and low MIB1 was the only significant biomarker representing both treatment outcomes of NACT and survival, and positive ER expression was associated with the poor OS.

**Genetic factors affecting NACT**

Homologous recombination (HR) repair pathway is essential to preserve genomic integrity, allowing accurate repair of double-strand DNA breaks, and has been associated with the response to treatment and the overall outcome of patients with HGSC EOC (48). Approximately 15–25% of EOC patients carry germ-line mutations in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* genes (49). *BRCA1/2* deficiency is associated with high sensitivity of serous EOC to platinum-
containing cytotoxic therapy, showing better response and up to 20% 5-year survival rate even for patients with stage IV EOC.

Gorodnova et al. proved that EOC patients with BRCA1/2 germ-line mutation show high sensitivity to platinum-based NACT (50). In their study, of 225 EOC patients, who were treated with platinum-based NACT, 34 BRCA1 and 1 BRCA2 mutation carriers were identified. The complete clinical response was documented in 12/35 (34%) mutation carriers while in 8/190 (4%) non-carriers. And the histopathologic response was observed in 16/35 (46%) women with the germ-line mutation versus 42/169 (24%) patients with the wild-type genotype. Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) with the residual wild-type BRCA1 allele was observed only in 7/24 (29%) post-NACT tumor tissues while in 9/11 (82%) chemo-naïve BRCA1-tumor tissues. In addition, pre- and post-NACT tumor samples obtained from the same patients showed renovation of BRCA1 heterozygosity in 2 out of 3 sample pairs with LOH at diagnosis. Through this study, however, the authors did not the association of LOH of BRCA1 with PFS, OS or the optimal cytoreduction.

Lately, Kessous et al. found that several HR genes demonstrate the association of these genes with prognosis (51). They analyzed the expression pattern of RNA from 96 fresh frozen HGOC tumor samples from PDS (n=55) and NACT-IDS patients (n=41). In the PDS group, overexpression of six HR genes (C11orf30, NBN, FANCF, FANCC, FANCB, and RAD50) was associated with better prognosis. In the NACT-IDS group, expression of three HR genes (BRCA2, TP53, and FANCB) was associated with prolonged OS and one gene (RAD51) showed association with better OS and PFS.

Conclusions

NACT shows better optimal cytoreduction and less peri-operative morbidity than standard treatment in patients with advanced EOC. Despite many clinical trials, clinical implication of NACT is still controversial. The lack of consensus on NACT was reflected in the surveys of gynecologic society groups: 70% of the European Society of Gynecological Oncology (ESGO) members felt the evidence was sufficient to recommend NACT (52). While, in the selected population primarily based on the US, 82% of Society of Gynecologic Oncologist (SGO) members did not feel there was an evidence to justify the administration of NACT in EOC in the survey of 2010 (53). Even in the follow-up survey conducted 5 years later, although fewer respondents feel evidence is insufficient to support use of NACT/IDS (68% and 82% in 2015 and 2010, respectively), most SGO members still do not consider the evidence to be sufficient to support regular use of NACT (79%) (54). Thus, more studies are warranted to select suitable patients for NACT.

In this review, we discussed the evidence and indications of NACT with various novel diagnostic tools. Previously published studies show that the patients receiving NACT were mainly evaluated by radiologic examination. Because these studies could cause deviated interpretation of clinical outcome, many physicians have questioned the usefulness of NACT. Recently, many groups have tried to overcome this paradigm through a series of advanced imaging and molecular biologic techniques. 18F-FDG-PET and functional MRI, such as DWI and DCE-MRI, have been proven to be a useful tool for screening candidates for NACT and timing of IDS. In addition, the development of molecular biology enabled the discovery of novel biomarkers, such as cfDNA, TILs, and MIB1 showing more precise prediction of response and prognosis than the conventional biomarkers. Over-expression of HR DNA repair pathways, such as C11orf30, NBN, FANCF, FANCC, FANCB, and RAD50, as well as BRCA, were found to be associated with the improved outcome of NACT.

It is the era of personalized medicine. So far, many studies have evaluated the indications and efficacy of NACT based on the large-scale group study. However, the results are still ambiguous. Although many personalized treatments on EOC are underway, unfortunately, well designed prospective study of NACT is still in the early phase. NACT is clearly a helpful treatment option for patients with advanced EOC, and more researches are warranted to find out the appropriate candidates for NACT.
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