Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third commonest cancer in the Western world, with an estimated 142,570 cases diagnosed in the US in 2010 (SEER database: http://seer.cancer.gov). Worldwide approximately 1.23 million new cases are diagnosed each year and 608,000 deaths from CRC occurred in 2008 (1). Overall, one quarter of incident cases are stage II, meaning that the tumour has breached the bowel wall but not spread to draining lymph nodes or distant sites. Stage II colorectal cancer is a heterogeneous disease both biologically and in terms of outcome. Although pivotal data have confirmed the benefit of adjuvant 5-fluorouracil (FU) chemotherapy following resection of stage II tumours the absolute reduction in risk of recurrence is small - 3 to 4 percentage points - and so most patients treated fail to gain from therapy. In contrast to stage III disease, the addition of oxaliplatin to FU as adjuvant chemotherapy for stage II disease does not improve outcome. Much attention has focused on the identification of biomarkers that identify patients more or less likely to benefit from treatment. Recent data confirm that patients with T3 primary and tumour microsatellite instability (MSI) have excellent prognosis and do not require adjuvant chemotherapy. For patients with microsatellite-stable disease, a validated recurrence score based on gene expression provides greater prognostic information than conventional clinicopathological features alone and can be used to inform discussion on the benefits of adjuvant chemotherapy.
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Abstract: Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third commonest cancer in the Western world. Approximately one-quarter of cases are classified as Stage II/Dukes’ B, meaning that the disease has breached the bowel wall but not spread to draining lymph nodes or distant sites. Stage II colorectal cancer is a heterogeneous disease both biologically and in terms of outcome. Although pivotal data have confirmed the benefit of adjuvant 5-fluorouracil (FU) chemotherapy following resection of stage II tumours the absolute reduction in risk of recurrence is small - 3 to 4 percentage points - and so most patients treated fail to gain from therapy. In contrast to stage III disease, the addition of oxaliplatin to FU as adjuvant chemotherapy for stage II disease does not improve outcome. Much attention has focused on the identification of biomarkers that identify patients more or less likely to benefit from treatment. Recent data confirm that patients with T3 primary and tumour microsatellite instability (MSI) have excellent prognosis and do not require adjuvant chemotherapy. For patients with microsatellite-stable disease, a validated recurrence score based on gene expression provides greater prognostic information than conventional clinicopathological features alone and can be used to inform discussion on the benefits of adjuvant chemotherapy.
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## Diagnosis and staging of CRC

In the absence of screening, CRC is usually diagnosed following symptoms from the primary tumour or metastases. Population analyses have shown that approximately one quarter of all colorectal cancers in an unscreened population are stage II. Interestingly, though it might be hypothesized that the introduction of screening would result in an increase in the proportion of stage II tumours this was not the case in several screening studies (1-3), in which stage migration following implementation of fecal occult blood testing was mainly manifest as an increase in stage I and a reduction in stage IV disease. Consequently, the widespread adoption of screening may not result in a substantial alteration in the frequency of stage II CRC.

While surgical resection of most stage II colonic tumours by open or laparoscopic surgery is straightforward, the management of T4 cancers invading adjacent structures is more challenging. The role of imaging in predicting resectability has evolved substantially in recent years, and in our unit consideration is given to the use of preoperative chemotherapy with aim of facilitating surgery in patients with advanced T4 lesions.

Following resection, accurate pathological assessment is essential to confirm diagnosis of stage II disease, with examination of a minimum 12 lymph nodes recommended by consensus guidelines (4), although evidence suggests that prognosis of stage II disease improves according to the number of nodes analysed - suggesting that a proportion of patients with occult nodal metastases are under-staged by suboptimal pathological evaluation (5-8). The extent of tumour invasion is also essential in informing further management, as is the presence or absence of microsatellite instability (MSI) (discussed below). Other pathological features commonly suggested to be of prognostic import, but in some cases unvalidated are tumour vascular invasion and grade. Though often taken for granted in everyday practice, the pathologist’s role in determination of these factors is of pivotal importance in informing subsequent patient management.

### Biology of stage II colon cancer

Although there are commonalities with other stages of CRC, there are also notable differences between stage II colon cancer and other disease stages. The most well recognized of these is the high frequency of MSI in stage II colon cancer, present in 15% of cases overall, and around 25% of right sided tumours, in comparison with a frequency of 14 in stage III colon cancer and 4% in metastatic disease (9). Mismatch repair proteins are required for surveillance of the newly synthesized DNA strand following replication, where they serve to recognize mispaired bases, small insertions and deletions incorporated by DNA polymerases (10). Germline mutation of the mismatch repair genes MLH1, MSH2, MSH6 or PMS2 causes Lynch syndrome (also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer - HNPCC), associated with early onset colonic and endometrial cancer, in addition to tumours of the ovary, stomach, small bowel, pancreas and other sites (11,12). Defective mismatch repair function in sporadic colonic cancer is commonly due to mutation of MSH6, MSH2 or epigenetic silencing of MLH1 by promoter methylation (12). In both hereditary and sporadic tumours, aberrant mismatch repair function leads to failure to repair defects caused by slippage of DNA polymerases at microsatellites - short tandem DNA repeats - and point mutations, resulting in a characteristic molecular phenotype of microsatellite instability (MSI) and mutation of the tumour suppressors TGFβR2, IGF2R, BAX, and PTEN, and the oncogene BRAF (12-15). MSI-high tumours are commonly proximal to the splenic flexure, poorly differentiated and demonstrate a prominent lymphocytic infiltrate (12). Confirmation of tumour microsatellite instability can be performed either using PCR - by the demonstration of instability of at least 2 of 5 microsatellite markers examined - or by immunohistochemistry (IHC) for the mismatch repair proteins, as absent staining

#### Table 1 Staging of colorectal cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AJCC/Dukes’ stage</th>
<th>Anatomical extent of disease</th>
<th>5-year overall survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I/A</td>
<td>Confined to mucosa (T1) or muscularis propria (T2)</td>
<td>93.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No nodal involvement No distant metastases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II/B</td>
<td>Tumour penetrates muscularis (T3) or invades adjacent organs or structures (T4)</td>
<td>82.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No nodal involvement No distant metastases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III/C</td>
<td>Any tumour stage Nodal metastases No distant metastases</td>
<td>59.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV/D</td>
<td>Any tumour stage Any nodal status Distant metastases</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
demonstrates excellent concordance with MSI-high status (16,17). Testing for MSI in stage II colonic cancer, and particularly in T3 tumours is advised, as it has important prognostic and therapeutic implications, as discussed below.

**Adjuvant chemotherapy for stage II colonic cancer**

Although the benefits of adjuvant 5-fluorouracil (FU) chemotherapy following resection of stage III disease have been well recognized for over two decades, the role of postoperative chemotherapy for stage II disease remained unclear until the publication of the QUASAR trial. This study randomized 3,239 patients following resection of CRC, 90% of whom had stage II disease, to adjuvant chemotherapy with FU and folinic acid (n=1,622) or to observation (n=1,617). After a median follow-up of 5.5 years, the recurrence rate in the chemotherapy arm was 20% lower than in the observation arm, translating to an absolute reduction in risk of relapse of 3.6% (P=0.04) (18). This unequivocal demonstration of the benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy for stage II colonic cancer is supported by other analyses (19-22) and means that an informed discussion of the risks and benefits of treatment is essential with fit patients following surgery. The MOSAIC study demonstrated that the addition of oxaliplatin to FU improves recurrence-free survival following surgery for stage III disease albeit at the expense of greater toxicity (23). However, subsequent data from this trial indicate that although this translated to a survival benefit at 6 years from combination therapy for stage III disease, no advantage was evident for stage II cancers (24). Consequently, oxaliplatin cannot be routinely recommended for use as adjuvant therapy in stage II colon cancer.

**Biomarkers in stage II CRC**

In view of the modest overall benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy in stage II colon cancer attempts have been made to restrict its use to patients with high-risk disease, on the premise that such patients are most likely to gain from therapy. The criteria used to identify ‘bad prognostic factors’ - T4 primary, high grade, lymphovascular invasion etc - have generally been identified by retrospective subgroup analysis, and although the prognostic significance of T4 primary is well recognized (25), most other factors have not been validated prospectively. Indeed, when reflecting on the quality of the underlying data, it is puzzling that some such features have gained traction in clinical practice and been included in treatment guidelines for stage II disease. However, recent high-quality data from the molecular analysis of large prospective clinical trials has clearly demonstrated the prognostic significance of tumour microsatellite instability, and suggested that the analysis of tumour gene expression profiles may aid in treatment decisions in some cases of colon cancer.

**Prognostic significance of microsatellite instability (MSI)**

Although the prognostic significance of MSI was previously unclear, data from several large randomized clinical trials (RCTs) (9,26-30), and a meta-analysis (31) have conclusively proven that the presence of tumour MSI is associated with favourable outcome. The meta analysis of 7,642 patients, 1,277 of whom had MSI tumours showed a hazard ratio for death of 0.65 (95% CI, 0.59 to 0.71) for patients with MSI tumours compared to those with microsatellite stable (MSS) disease (31). Even disregarding the suggestion that MSI may predict lack of benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy (31), patients with T3 primary and tumour MSI have sufficiently low risk of recurrence to mean that any benefit from post-operative chemotherapy is minimal, and these patients can therefore be spared treatment. Interestingly, the combination of T4 primary and MSI is uncommon - around 2% of cases of stage II colon cancer - and appears to have similar prognosis to that of T3 primary, MSS disease, although there is a large degree of uncertainty in this estimate. Consequently, consideration of adjuvant chemotherapy should be given to patients this group. The mechanisms underlying the favourable outcome of MSI-high cancers is presently unclear, but may be due to and anti-tumour immune response (32) or decreased viability associated with hypermutation in tumours (33). Data regarding the utility of adjuvant chemotherapy for the majority of patients (85%) with MSS tumours, are insufficiently strong to alter the estimated benefit from the QUASAR study (18). A proposed treatment algorithm, accounting for tumour stage and mismatch repair status is shown in Figure 1.

**Prognostic gene signatures**

As an attempt to improve on the utility of conventional clinicopathological features for prognostication in stage II colon cancer, a transatlantic collaboration between QUASAR and NSABP Trials Groups, Cleveland Clinic
and Genomic Health, was formed. This collaborative effort sought to examine whether tumour RNA expression levels might serve to improve on conventional parameters for the classification of relapse risk. The developmental study comprised 1,851 patients recruited to NSABP clinical trials C-01/C-02/C-04/C-06 and a cohort of untreated patients from the Cleveland clinic (34). RNA was extracted from formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tumour blocks, and gene expression quantified by RT-PCR. Multivariate analysis of the correlation of expression of 761 candidate genes on recurrence-free survival (RFS), disease-free survival (DFS), and overall survival (OS) adjusted for stage, grade, number of lymph nodes examined and MSI status, yielded 18 informative genes (7 prognostic genes, 6 genes predictive of FU benefit and 5 internal reference genes for normalisation), which were used to generate separate prognostic recurrence score and predictive treatment score signatures. The utility of these gene expression scores was then examined in 1,436 patients with median follow-up of 6.6 years from the QUASAR study (35). In univariate analysis, the recurrence score predicted recurrence risk (hazard ratio/25 units = 1.58; 95% CI, 1.15 to 2.15; P=0.004), DFS (P=0.01) and OS (P=0.04). Recurrence risk increased with increasing recurrence score, with 3-year recurrences of 12, 18 and 22% in the predefined low, intermediate and high recurrence risk groups (Figure 2). In multivariate analyses, the recurrence score retained prognostic significance (P=0.008) following adjustment for primary tumour stage, number of lymph nodes examined, MSI status, tumour grade, and tumour lymphovascular invasion. However, the treatment score failed to predict chemotherapy benefit (P=0.19) (35). Thus, the continuous recurrence score is able to enhance the assessment of recurrence risk and may be of particular use for the majority (76%) of cases of stage II colon cancer with T3 MSS tumours, as shown in Figure 2. In this group, the recurrence score can be used to segregate those into very low risk of relapse for whom the absolute benefits of chemotherapy are too small to recommend its use, from those at greater risk, for whom a 25-30% risk of recurrence is associated with a greater absolute benefit from adjuvant treatment - perhaps 5-6 percentage points. In the group at intermediate risk, a...
more informed discussion between the patient and clinician on the likely benefits of adjuvant chemotherapy than is currently possible may be undertaken. The recurrence score has the advantage of using conventional pathologic material, in contrast to alternatives that require frozen tissue - not routinely collected in everyday clinical practice. It is hoped that in the future, an improved predictive score for chemotherapy benefit will provide additional information that can be used to guide treatment decisions in patients with stage II colon cancer.

Conclusions

Approximately one quarter of patients with colorectal cancers have stage II disease, and within this group there is substantial variation in clinicopathological features, molecular biology and outcome between cases. The prognosis varies from the excellent outcome associated with MSI T3 primary to a recurrence risk of >50% for MSS T4 primary presenting with bowel obstruction. Consequently, as we have sought to highlight in this Review, a one-size-fits-all approach cannot be recommended, and treatment decisions must be individualized, informed by tumour stage and MSI status at the very least. In a proportion of cases, the recurrence score may provide further information on the risk of relapse than conventional clinicopathological features alone, and help in decision-making. Ongoing studies should clarify the role of additional molecular markers in assessment of prognosis and likelihood of chemotherapy benefit.
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